

STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT FOR SEMESTER 3 (BATCH 2020-22)

(Mid. Semester)

1. INTRODUCTION

Student's feedback is taken for all batches at the mid semester and end of the semester and by the Batch-in-Charge to get a student perspective on the requirements in the class, subject and the curriculum in general as it helps the faculty to design their teaching methods in a way that can be more helpful and beneficial to students as well as it helps the organization to better plan the subjects offered, faculties to be allotted, add on trainings to be given besides getting their views on the different departments of the organization.

2. METHOD

The feedback was taken by circulating a Google form with all students at the end of the Semester 3 conducted for PGDM batch 2020-22.

Through the feedback form thus circulated each student was asked to rate each faculty that taught him/her during the Semester on a scale of 1 to 4 on the following parameters:

1. Teaching Methodology
2. Language/Voice Clarity
3. Behavior with Students
4. Interaction with students during the class
5. Use of business relevant concepts while explaining concepts

The students were asked to rate the faculty on above parameters on a scale of 1 to 4. For better clarity and uniformity in responses the students were given what each number on the scale denote for the surveyor. The detailed scale is given below:

- 1 for Poor
- 2 for Average
- 3 for Good
- 4 for Very Good

3. **SAMPLE FORM:** You can find question as seen by the students in the picture below.

Rate Madhup Gandhi Sir for Operations & Supply chain Management: *


	4	3	2	1
Behavior with the s...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Teaching methodo...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Language and voic...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interactive Classes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Concept Clarity An...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. SUMMARY


There were 6 sections for the SEM 1 batch 2020-22. At an average strength of each section being 30, the total strength of the Semester 3 batch 2019-21 was 180. List of professors and graph of feedback of respective professor is given below.

Common Subject


Prof. Anirban: With overall rating of 3.7 Prof. Anirban sir was very well received by the students. Anirban sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.



Prof. Anirban: With overall rating of 3.78 Prof. Anirban sir was very well received by the students. Anirban sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Stephano: With overall rating of 3.6 Prof. Stephano sir was very well received by the students. Stephano sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Marketing


Prof. Joel Das: With overall rating of 3.6 Prof. Joel sir was well received by the students. Joel sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Joel Das: With overall rating of 3.64 Prof. Joel sir was well received by the students. Joel sir was rated **Good** by the student community.




Prof. Gandhi: With overall rating of 3.69 Prof. Gandhi sir was well received by the students. Gandhi sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Meena: With overall rating of 3.74 Prof. Meena ma'am was well received by the students. Meena ma'am was rated **good to very** by the student community.




Prof. Naresh: With overall rating of 3.6 Prof. Naresh sir was well received by the students. Naresh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. G. Pravin: With overall rating of 3.48 Prof. G. Pravin sir was well received by the students. G. Pravin sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Mahendra More: With overall rating of 3.45 Prof. Mahendra sir was well received by the students. Mahendra sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Sonal: With overall rating of 3.84 Prof. Sonal ma'am was well received by the students. Sonal ma'am was rated **good to very good** by the student community.



Prof. Bhavyadeep: With overall rating of 3.46 Prof. Bhavyadeep sir was well received by the students. Bhavyadeep sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Chetana Mehta: With overall rating of 3.43 Prof. Chetana ma'am was well received by the students. Chetana ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Human Resource


Prof. Yamini: With overall rating of 3.33 Prof. Yamini ma'am was well received by the students. Yamini ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Rajalakshmi: With overall rating of 3.57 Prof. Rajalakshmi ma'am was very well received by the students. Rajalakshmi ma'am was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Aditi: With overall rating of 3.21 Prof. Aditi ma'am was well received by the students. Aditi ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Animesh: With overall rating of 2.93 Prof. Animesh sir was well received by the students. Animesh sir was rated **average** by the student community.



Prof. Rajashri Bhise: With overall rating of 2.88 Prof. Rajashri ma'am was well received by the students. Rajashri ma'am was rated **average** by the student community.




Prof. Poornima: With overall rating of 3.68 Prof. Poornima ma'am was well received by the students. Poornima ma'am was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Finance


Prof. Adesh Doifode: With overall rating of 3.20 Prof. Adesh sir was well received by the students. Adesh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Ravindar: With overall rating of 3.7 Prof. Ravindra sir was well received by the students. Ravindar sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Mediratta Vaibhav: With overall rating of 3.67 Prof. Vaibhav sir was well received by the students. Vaibhav sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Ankit Bajaj: With overall rating of 3.6 Prof. Ankit sir was well received by the students. Ankit sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Pranav: With overall rating of 3.55 Prof. Pranav sir was well received by the students. Pranav sir was rated **good** by the student community.



Prof. Deepak Tondon: With overall rating of 3.31 Prof. Deepak sir was well received by the students. Deepak sir was rated **good** by the student community.



Prof. Laxman: With overall rating of 3.7 Prof. Lakshman sir was well received by the students. Lakshman sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.



5. Findings:

As PIBM focuses on students' overall growth and developing their competencies so that they can perform well in the professional world. To ensure the same, PIBM follows a rigorous recruitment process and faculty finalization for the subjects, but students' feedbacks help faculty and the institute to understand points of improvement in terms of different aspects of teaching and training.

As the Mid Sem Feedback helps to understand students' requirements of Remedial sessions and all other requirements to understand the subject better, Mid Sem feedback is required. The overall average rating is 3.30 out of 4 which is good and provides us the clarity that the respected faculty taught them well in the class.

