

# STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT FOR SEMESTER 3 (BATCH 2019-21)

(End of the Semester)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Student's feedback is taken for all batches at the mid semester and end of the semester and by the Batch-in-Charge to get a student perspective on the requirements in the class, subject and the curriculum in general as it helps the faculty to design their teaching methods in a way that can be more helpful and beneficial to students as well as it helps the organization to better plan the subjects offered, faculties to be allotted, add on trainings to be given besides getting their views on the different departments of the organization.

## 2. METHOD

The feedback was taken by circulating a Google form with all students at the end of the Semester 3 conducted for PGDM batch 2019-21.

Through the feedback form thus circulated each student was asked to rate each faculty that taught him/her during the Semester on a scale of 1 to 4 on the following parameters:

1. Teaching Methodology
2. Language/Voice Clarity
3. Behavior with Students
4. Interaction with students during the class
5. Use of business relevant concepts while explaining concepts

The students were asked to rate the faculty on above parameters on a scale of 1 to 4. For better clarity and uniformity in responses the students were given what each number on the scale denote for the surveyor. The detailed scale is given below:

- 1 for Poor
- 2 for Average
- 3 for Good
- 4 for Very Good

3. **SAMPLE FORM:** You can find question as seen by the students in the picture below.

Rate Madhup Gandhi Sir for Operations & Supply chain Management: \*


	4	3	2	1
Behavior with the s...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Teaching methodo...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Language and voic...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interactive Classes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Concept Clarity An...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

#### 4. SUMMARY


There were 9 sections for the SEM 3 batch 2019-21. At an average strength of each section being 39+, the total strength of the Semester 3 batch 2019-21 was 356. List of professors and graph of feedback of respective professor is given below.

## Marketing


**Prof. Chetna Mehta:** With overall rating of 3.34 Prof. Chetna ma'am was well received by the students. Chetana ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Mahendra More:** With overall rating of 3.38 Prof. Mahendra More sir was well received by the students. Mahendra sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Saurabh:** With overall rating of 3.29 Prof. Saurabh sir was well received by the students. Saurabh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Raj Kishor:** With overall rating of 3.34 Prof. Raj Kishor sir was well received by the students. Raj sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Bibhas:** With overall rating of 3.36 Prof. Bibhas sir was well received by the students. Bibhas sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Sonal:** With overall rating of 3.27 Prof. Sonal ma'am was well received by the students. Sonali ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.



**Prof. Naresh:** With overall rating of 3.27 Prof. Naresh sir was well received by the students. Naresh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Arvind Kumar:** With overall rating of 3.34 Prof. Arvind sir was well received by the students. Arvind sir was rated **good** by the student community.




## Human Resource


**Prof. Randive Ramrao:** With overall rating of 3.35 Prof. Randive sir was well received by the students. Randive sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Pathik:** With overall rating of 3.61 Prof. Pathik sir was well received by the students. Pathik sir was rated **Good to very Good** by the student community.



**Prof. Yamini:** With overall rating of 3.30 Prof. Yamini ma’am was well received by the students. Yamini ma’am was rated **good** by the student community.



**Prof. Aditi:** With overall rating of 3.19 Prof. Aditi ma’am was well received by the students. Aditi ma’am was rated **good** by the student community.






**Prof. R. Bhise:** With overall rating of 3.17 Prof. Bhise ma'am was well received by the students. Bhise ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Rajalakshmi:** With overall rating of 3.58 Prof. Rajalakshmi ma'am was well received by the students. Rajalakshmi ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




## Finance


**Prof. Adesh Doifode:** With overall rating of 3.41 Prof. Adesh sir was well received by the students. Adesh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Mediratta Vaibhav:** With overall rating of 3.38 Prof. Mediratta sir was well received by the students. Mediratta sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Pranav:** With overall rating of 3.55 Prof. Pranav sir was well received by the students. Pranav sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Prof. Ankit:** With overall rating of 3.37 Prof. Ankit sir was well received by the students. Ankit sir was rated **good** by the student community.



**Prof. Deepak:** With overall rating of Pro 3.19. Prof. Deepak sir was well received by the students. Deepak sir was rated **good** by the student community.



**Prof. Manik:** With overall rating of 3.42 Prof. Manik sir was well received by the students. Manik sir was rated **good** by the student community.



**5. Findings:**

As PIBM focuses on students' overall growth and developing their competencies so that they can perform well in the professional world. To ensure the same, PIBM follows a rigorous recruitment process and faculty finalization for the subjects, but students' feedbacks help faculty and the institute to understand points of improvement in terms of different aspects of teaching and training.

As the Mid Sem Feedback helps to understand students' requirements of Remedial sessions and all other requirements to understand the subject better, Mid Sem feedback is required. The overall average rating is 3.34 out of 4 which is good and provides us the clarity that the respected faculty taught them well in the class.

