

STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT FOR SEMESTER 2 (BATCH 2019-21)

(Mid. Semester)

1. INTRODUCTION

Student's feedback is taken for all batches at the mid semester and end of the semester and by the Batch-in-Charge to get a student perspective on the requirements in the class, subject and the curriculum in general as it helps the faculty to design their teaching methods in a way that can be more helpful and beneficial to students as well as it helps the organization to better plan the subjects offered, faculties to be allotted, add on trainings to be given besides getting their views on the different departments of the organization.

2. METHOD

The feedback was taken by circulating a Google form with all students at the end of the Semester 2 conducted for PGDM batch 2019-21.

Through the feedback form thus circulated each student was asked to rate each faculty that taught him/her during the Semester on a scale of 1 to 4 on the following parameters:

1. Teaching Methodology
2. Language/Voice Clarity
3. Behavior with Students
4. Interaction with students during the class
5. Use of business relevant concepts while explaining concepts

The students were asked to rate the faculty on above parameters on a scale of 1 to 4. For better clarity and uniformity in responses the students were given what each number on the scale denote for the surveyor. The detailed scale is given below:

- 1 for Poor
- 2 for Average
- 3 for Good
- 4 for Very Good

3. SAMPLE FORM: You can find question as seen by the students in the picture below.

Rate Madhup Gandhi Sir for Operations & Supply chain Management: *


	4	3	2	1
Behavior with the s...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Teaching methodo...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Language and voic...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interactive Classes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Concept Clarity An...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. SUMMARY


There were 9 sections for the SEM 2 batch 2019-21. At an average strength of each section being 39+, the total strength of the Semester 1 batch 2019-21 was 356. List of professors and graph of feedback of respective professor is given below.

Marketing


Prof. Madhup Gandhi: With overall rating of 3.41 Prof. Madhup sir was well received by the students. Madhup sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Tejpal Batra: With overall rating of 3.30 Prof. Tejpal sir was well received by the students. Tejpal sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Diniar Patel: With overall rating of 3.32 Prof. Diniar sir was very well received by the students. Diniar sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Chetna Mehta: With overall rating of 3.18 Prof. Chetna ma'am was well received by the students. Chetana ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Harsha Gandhi: With overall rating of 3.27 Prof. Harsha ma'am was well received by the students. Harsha ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. G. Pravin: With overall rating of 3.44 Prof. G. Pravin sir was very well received by the students. G. Pravin sir was rated **good** by the student community. Pravin sir is amongst the top 5 Faculty on the basis of faculty rating of the students.




Prof. Mahendra More: With overall rating of 3.43 Prof. Mahendra More sir was well received by the students. Mahendra sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Raj Kishor: With overall rating of 3.48 Prof. Raj Kishor sir was well received by the students. Raj sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Joel Das: With overall rating of 3.28 Prof. Joel sir was well received by the students. Joel sir was rated **Good** by the student community. Students was happy with behavior but other things need to improve.




Prof. Gaurav Hans: With overall rating of 3.13 Prof. Gaurav sir was well received by the students. Gaurav sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Bibhas: With overall rating of 3.45 Prof. Bibhas sir was well received by the students. Bibhas sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Shwetabh: With overall rating of 3.39 Prof. Shwetabh sir was well received by the students. Shwetabh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Yachna: With overall rating of 3.26 Prof. Yachana ma'am was well received by the students. Yachna ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Pranav Ranjan: With overall rating of 3.38 Prof. Pranav sir was well received by the students. Pranav sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Yamini: With overall rating of 3.28 Prof. Yamini ma'am was well received by the students. Yamini ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.



Prof. Sonali Gandhi: With overall rating of 3.33 Prof. Sonali ma'am was well received by the students. Sonali ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Abhishek: With overall rating of 3.51 Prof. Abhishek sir was very well received by the students. Abhishek sir was rated **good** by the student community.



Human Resource


Prof. Randive Ramrao: With overall rating of 3.40 Prof. Randive sir was well received by the students. Randive sir was rated **good** by the student community.

Prof. Randive sir for Conflict and Negotiation:




Prof. Joel Das: With overall rating of 3.26 Prof. Joel sir was well received by the students. Joel sir was rated **Average** by the student community. Students was happy with behavior but other things need to improve.


Prof. Randive sir for Conflict and Negotiation:




Prof. Yamini: With overall rating of 3.19 Prof. Yamini ma'am was well received by the students. Yamini ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Seema: With overall rating of 3.23 Prof. Seema ma'am was well received by the students. Seema ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Sonali Gandhi: With overall rating of 3.20 Prof. Sonali ma'am was well received by the students. Sonali ma'am was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Tamuly: With overall rating of 3.38 Prof. Tamuly sir was well received by the students. Tamuly sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Rajalakshmi: With overall rating of 3.48 Prof. Rajalakshmi ma'am was very well received by the students. Rajalakshmi ma'am was rated **good** by the student community. Rajalakshmi ma'am is amongst the top 5 Faculty on the basis of faculty rating of the students.




Prof. Rajalakshmi: With overall rating of 3.57 Prof. Rajalakshmi ma'am was very well received by the students. Rajalakshmi ma'am was rated **good** by the student community. Rajalakshmi ma'am is amongst the top 5 Faculty on the basis of faculty rating of the students.




Prof. Pranav Ranjan: With overall rating of 3.4 Prof. Pranav sir was very well received by the students. Pranav sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community. Pranav sir is amongst the top 5 Faculty on the basis of faculty rating of the students..




Prof. Prantosh Banerjee: With overall rating of 3.47 Prof. Prantosh sir was well received by the students. Prantosh sir was rated **good** by the student community.



Prof. Animesh Kumar: With overall rating of 3.41 Prof. Animesh sir was well received by the students. Animesh sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Abhishek: With overall rating of 3.72 Prof. Abhishek sir was very well received by the students. Abhishek sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Finance


Prof. Abhishek: With overall rating of 3.73 Prof. Abhishek sir was very well received by the students. Abhishek sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Ravindra: With overall rating of 3.34 Prof. Ravindra sir was well received by the students. Ravindra sir was rated **good** by the student community.




Prof. Akeelur Rehman: With overall rating of 3.74 Prof. Akeelur sir was very well received by the students. Akeelur sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Akeelur Rehman: With overall rating of 3.77 Prof. Akeelur sir was very well received by the students. Akeelur sir was rated **good to very good** by the student community.




Prof. Adesh Doifode: With overall rating of 3.29 Prof. Adesh sir was well received by the students. Adesh sir was rated **Good** by the student community. Students was happy with behavior but other things need to improve.



Prof. Bharat Dalal: With overall rating of 3.37 Prof. Bharat sir was well received by the students. Bharat sir was rated **good** by the student community.



Prof. Sandesh Banger: With overall rating of 3.35 Prof. Adesh sir was well received by the students. Sandesh sir was rated **Good** by the student community. Students was happy with behavior but other things need to improve.



5. Findings:

As PIBM focuses on students' overall growth and developing their competencies so that they can perform well in the professional world. To ensure the same, PIBM follows a rigorous recruitment process and faculty finalization for the subjects, but students' feedbacks help faculty and the institute to understand points of improvement in terms of different aspects of teaching and training.

As the Mid Sem Feedback helps to understand students' requirements of Remedial sessions and all other requirements to understand the subject better, Mid Sem feedback is required. The overall average rating is 3.27 out of 4 which is good and provides us the clarity that the respected faculty taught them well in the class.

